

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/538,354	03/29/2000	Stefan P. Swierkowski	IL-10475	6131
75	90 10/04/2002			
Alan H Thompson		EXAMINER		
Patent Attorney  Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory			NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN	
PO Box 808 - L-703 Livermore, CA 94551			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21101111010, 071	,		1743	>
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

		An and a second	
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	09/538,354	SWIERKOWSKI, STEFAN P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1743	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond

	THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-34.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
1	8.⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>24 September 2002</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	10. Other:
	Oly Magnerale 10/03/02
-	10/03/03
. •	

U.S.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant intends to am nd Claim 1 so that the injection point is dir ctly connected to only one of the sample wells. This amendment would raise new issues if entered because (1) as acknowledged by Applicant, Simpson et al. teach connecting the injection point to several sample wells, so Applicant would be just claiming a subset of what Simpson et al. directly teach; (2) the claim would place greater weight on what a sample well is, however, Applicant's sample well appears to only differ from a waste well, for example, in what the well is to contain, that is its intended use. So by just relabeling several of Simpson et al.'s sample wells as waste wells Simpson et al. may indirectly read on Applicant's amended claim; and (3) Applicant has not propsed an amendment for or addressed the rejection of independent Claim 20 and Claims 21-28, which depend from Claim 20.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700